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INTRODUCTION

- September to November 2014 (1st Consultation)
- February to March 2016 (2nd Consultation)
- Type of consultation
- Wide range of responses received
• Range of consultees
• Total of 312 respondents
• Ravensbury – high response
• High Path – good response
• Eastfields – good response
• Anonymous and multiple responses
EASTFIELDS: Summary of responses

Response rate by tenure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of respondent</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident Leaseholder on estate (Private)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Freeholder Estate (Private)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Tenants (Private)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle Tenants (Social Rent)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Organisation (Other)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent Outside Estate (Other)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Owner (Other)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown (Other)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EASTFIELDS: Summary of responses

Residents on the estate - views on regeneration

- Entire: 52 responses
- Partial: 1 response
- Repair: 19 responses
- No response: 7 responses
Breakdown of the types of Eastfields respondents that chose each option

- **Private Residents**
  - Entire: 25
  - Partial: 1
  - Repair: 13
  - No response: 2

- **Social Rent Residents**
  - Entire: 23
  - Partial: 3
  - Repair: 3
  - No response: 4

- **Other**
  - Entire: 7
  - Partial: 5
  - Repair: 5
  - No response: 4

Number of respondents
EASTFIELDS: Summary of responses

The response to policies:

All Policies
- Agree: 51%
- Disagree: 11%
- Neither: 12%
- No response: 26%

Building Heights
- Agree: 48%
- Disagree: 14%
- Neither: 13%
- No response: 25%
HIGH PATH: Summary of responses

Response rate by tenure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of respondent</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident Leaseholder on estate (Private)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Freeholder Estate (Private)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Tenants (Private)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landlord (Other)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle Tenants (Social Rent)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Organisation (Other)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent Outside Estate (Other)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIGH PATH: Summary of responses

Residents living on the estate - views on regeneration

Number of responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entire</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residents living on the estate - views on regeneration
HIGH PATH: Summary of responses

Breakdown of the types of High Path respondents that chose each option:

- **Private Residents**
  - Entire: 23
  - Partial: 15
  - Repair: 17
  - No response: 1

- **Social Rent Residents**
  - Entire: 20
  - Partial: 9
  - Repair: 8
  - No response: 2

- **Other**
  - Entire: 4
  - Partial: 1
  - Repair: 4
  - No response: 2
The response to policies:

All Policies

- Agree: 49%
- Disagree: 18%
- Neither: 15%
- No response: 18%

Building Heights

- Agree: 37%
- Disagree: 30%
- Neither: 15%
- No response: 18%
### RAVENSBURY: Summary of responses

#### Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of respondent</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident Leaseholder on estate (Private)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Freeholder Estate (Private)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Tenants (Private)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landlord (Other)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle Tenants (Social Rent)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Organisation (Other)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent Outside Estate (Other)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RAVENSBURY: Summary of responses

Respondent’s views on Regeneration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number of responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entire</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RAVENSBURY: Summary of responses

The response to policies:

Building Heights

- Agree: 79%
- Disagree: 2%
- Neither: 3%

All Policies

- Agree: 81%
- Disagree: 10%
- Mixed: 5%
- Neither: 3%
- No response: 2%
KEY FINDINGS

• Eastfields respondents clearly want the full regeneration option

• A mixed but positive response from High Path

• Ravensbury clearly want Repair Existing Option

• Lower turnout for social rented

• High turnout for Ravensbury
WHAT PEOPLE SAID

1. SUPPORT
2. TIMESCALE
3. PURPOSE OF REGENERATION
4. QUALITY
5. STREETS
6. TRANSPORT
7. NATURAL ENVIRONMENT
8. BUILDING HEIGHTS
WHAT PEOPLE SAID
SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CHANGES

1. STOREY HEIGHTS
2. DESIGN CODES
3. FLOODING
4. STREETS & MOVEMENT
5. TREES
6. DELIVERY & IMPLEMENTATION
7. PLANS
8. TEXT & TYPOS
NEXT STEPS

• Undertake amendments to draft Plan

• Recommendations to councillors: October-November 2016 (full council 23 November 2016)

• If approved, publish the plan for six weeks prior to submission to Secretary of State: spring 2017

• Independent examination: including public hearing

• Adoption (by full council)
• Thank you

• Any questions?