70 EP Eastfields Freeholder

Option 1: Demolish and rebuild the entire estate. 

Strongly disagree  Strongly disagree  Strongly disagree  Strongly disagree  Strongly disagree  Strongly disagree

Disagree 

Neither agree nor disagree 

Strongly disagree

Disagree 

Neither agree nor disagree 

Strongly disagree 

Disagree

Both the council should have been more involved in this consultation. They should have been more open in the consultation process. I am still uncertain about the final plan. If there were less issues, it would not have come to this consultation. If there were less issues, I would have been more open. I am still uncertain about the final plan. I do not accept the suggestion of having any more consultation. 

Do you have any other information network? 

Yes 

No very well 

Website 

Other - Specify 

SBT

81 EP Eastfields Freeholder

Option 1: Demolish and rebuild the estate. 

Neither agree nor disagree  Neither agree nor disagree  Neither agree nor disagree  Neither agree nor disagree  Neither agree nor disagree  Neither agree nor disagree

Skip this question please

Disagree 

Neither agree nor disagree 

Neither agree nor disagree 

Neither agree nor disagree 

Neither agree nor disagree 

Neither agree nor disagree

Reasonably well. Both Merton Council and Merton Priory should have come together at the beginning of the consultation process.

Consultation process, but I remain sceptical over Circles' consultation process that you comments about the councils 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes

Reasonably well. We are being a fair deal to Eastfields, as a Freeholder. Because of this issue, I am really uncertain what kind of help will they get? Also, Where will they live whilst the consultation process, but I remain sceptical. 

The proposal to have both the council and Merton Priory to have a much closer together. 

Yes 

The consultation process that you comments about the councils 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes

Reasonably well. We are being a fair deal to Eastfields, as a Freeholder. Because of this issue, I am really uncertain what kind of help will they get? Also, Where will they live whilst the consultation process, but I remain sceptical. 

The proposal to have both the council and Merton Priory to have a much closer together. 

Yes 

The consultation process that you comments about the councils 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes 

Yes

Reasonably well. We are being a fair deal to Eastfields, as a Freeholder. Because of this issue, I am really uncertain what kind of help will they get? Also, Where will they live whilst the consultation process, but I remain sceptical.
### Eastfields

#### Freeholder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The draft estate plan looks very good as so much hard work has gone into it. I think</strong> demolishing the existing structures and building new homes is a fair price but would be worth it at the end. <strong>Finance</strong>, I am concerned about the directly proposed licensing the number of areas to over 620 will create a new ghetto caused mainly by parking issues as you have seen in more estates in Mitcham. I feel also opposed to the height of the buildings. I am concerned about the planning towards the banks of Acacia road. We all know about the shape of getting people off the cars into bikes. The reality is that while many people are taking to bikes, majority households own 2 or more cars. I will therefore suggest underground car parks especially in the flats but it can also be done to the houses. Traditional streets and brick houses with pitched roof NOT flat roof is another thing to be considered as such homes require less maintenance. It is stated that there has been talks about suitable green of land nearby to be sold when residents of Eastfields could move to a new development. Yes, these of used to keep the transparency of the existing community but CHMP should consider moving people temporarily with the option to move them back when the building are completed. It is inconveniencing but that is something that could be considered easy for residents to adapt. In fairness, St Marks School must not be involved.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reasonably well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Circle tenant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The sooner regeneration starts at Eastfields the better.</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Very well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Under tenant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The sooner regeneration starts at Eastfields the better.</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Very well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>