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Foreword by the Task Group Chair

Immunisation plays a really important role in keeping our nation healthy. It is a preventive measure especially for young children, as it attributes resistance to specific infections.

We need to continue the fight against infectious disease, most have been eradicated but others are reportedly making a comeback, which can cause severe trauma to the lives of families. It is therefore, important to establish clear routines in immunisation procedures. Pregnant women, parents and guardians must be given information so that they can make informed choices regarding immunisation.

We also need to ensure we meet the World Health Organisation target as a lapse in take-up not only cause an increase in the different illnesses, but also takes a long time for protection to be re-established in communities.

We are grateful to all our witnesses, Dr Kay Eilbert, Director of Public Health, as well as the public health team, our advisor from the Centre for Public Scrutiny and our Scrutiny Officer, Stella Akintan, who has tirelessly investigated and sought those in the community with responsibility for this area of health to share experiences and to promise commitment to this project.

Although we have completed the review, this is not the end. We will ensure, by continuing investigation and dialogue that our voice is heard and the children of Merton as well as the wider community reap the benefit of this work.
Executive Summary

This task group considered the important issue of how to improve the take-up of immunisations amongst the 0-5 age group. This issue was very pertinent in Merton given that Sutton and Merton Primary Care Trust reported the lowest immunisations rates in London in 2012/13.

The task group met with a wide range of witnesses including; NHS England, Director of Public Health, Public Health England, parents, early years staff, Merton Clinical Commissioning Group and Sutton and Merton Community Services.

The task group identified a number of important factors that will contribute to improving take-up rates. These include;

- An effective local co-ordination group must be in place which has commitment from the key partners who deliver immunisations. The group should identify clear objectives and develop an action plan to improve take-up.
- Immunisation data must be updated in a timely way to ensure that the key agencies have the latest immunisation figures.
- The local co-ordination group should develop projects to identify and provide support to the groups who are least likely to immunise.
- Finding innovative ways to embed key immunisation messages within the community is the best way to improve take-up.
- The immunisations schedule is complex and changes regularly therefore it is important to ensure that parents and guardians are able to access support and reassurance when they need it.

The task group made a number of recommendations to address these issues and agreed to continue to raise the profile of this important issue locally.
Introduction

1. Immunisation has been hailed as one of the greatest successes of the public health movement in eradicating the infectious diseases that ravaged Britain three hundred years ago. Sustaining take up of immunisations is important; the World Health Organisation has set a target of 95% of the population to be vaccinated as high levels of herd immunity are needed to reduce the possibility of the diseases spreading between people.

2. Whilst the majority of people do immunise their children, the challenge of modern times is to successfully target those who face a complex range of barriers and do not complete the immunisation schedule. Also, many people have not been exposed to the effects of the polio, whooping cough, smallpox and other infectious diseases their children are being vaccinated against, therefore the benefits may not be obvious.

3. In 2012-13, Sutton and Merton Primary Care Trust reported the lowest childhood immunisation rates in the Capital, with very few local GP practices reaching the World Health Organisation target. When the Centre for Public Scrutiny sought local authorities to conduct a review of immunisations it was an opportunity for scrutiny to consider this long standing issue and look at how to increase the uptake of immunisations across the borough.

4. Merton also had a new intake of politicians following the 2014 local election. This review presented an opportunity for them as well as our existing members to benefit from the support of an expert advisor from the Centre for Public Scrutiny.
Summary of Recommendations

1. NHS England, Merton Clinical Commissioning Group, Sutton and Merton Community Services and the Local Authority develop a joint working protocol including development of a joint action plan setting out frequency of meetings and priority actions to improve the take up of immunisations. Ensure the group leads on embedding immunisations messages in all nurseries, children’s centres and early years’ services in Merton.

2. The group should review the recommendations in the NHS Southwest London report *Childhood Immunisations and Vaccinations 2013* and decide what would be appropriate to take forward.

3. The group should report to the Health and Wellbeing Board on an annual basis and report their progress to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on a six monthly basis until the Commission are satisfied that this work has been taken forward and that further improvements in immunisations have been made.

4. The task group chair to champion improving immunisation rates and raise the profile of this issue in appropriate forums.

5. That health champions deliver immunisations messages within their communities and public health team seek to develop health champion roles in communities where immunisation rates are the lowest where possible.

6. That the Public Health Team ensures that the role of health visitors in delivering information on immunisations is specified and strengthened in the commissioning arrangements.

7. Public Health Merton to work with Merton Clinical Commissioning Group to conduct an audit of GPs on the ‘top tips’ sheet including checking which practices use the text messaging service. Merton Clinical Commissioning Group and Public Health Team to explore future options for expanding the text messaging service.

8. Public health team to ensure that information on immunisations will be part of school entry packs and asked within the school entry health review, using the review as an opportunity to identify those unimmunised, promote immunisations uptake and signpost to child’s GP.

9. Public health team should take every care to ensure that the immunisation data received from Public Health England is accurate.
Key lines of enquiry

A. To review the local arrangements and responsibilities for immunisations.

B. To review arrangements for oversight, co-ordination and monitoring of immunisation services.

C. To review which groups least are likely to immunise and how is this being addressed locally.

D. To review the barriers and challenges experienced by parents in dealing with immunisations.

E. Review the measures in place to address parents’ concerns around immunisations.

F. To review the opportunities for partnerships between organisations that work directly with parents and communities and the health services to involve parents.

Background

10. The Merton Joint Strategic Needs Assessment states that immunisation is the most cost effective health measure after clean water in saving lives and maintaining health. It is also an important efficiency measure in avoiding the high costs of hospital admissions.

11. This is demonstrated by a report from Sanofi Pasteur MSD on the economic value of vaccine which highlighted that in Europe the cost of a measles treatment in hospital is approximately £180-£414 compared to 15-84 pence as the cost of being vaccinated against the disease.

12. At the time of writing this report the council is refreshing its Health and Wellbeing Strategy, one of the priorities is ‘Giving every child a healthy start’. This is in recognition that if a child has a strong foundation it will benefit them for the rest of their life. The Strategy is seeking to increase immunisation rates recognising they are a form early intervention which prevent illness and disease. The task group are pleased that the recommendations arising from this scrutiny review will inform the work in helping to improve immunisations rates. The Strategy will focus on improving the take up of MMR2 at age five. This indicator will be taken as proxy for improvement in uptake across all childhood immunisations, and not an indication that these are the only immunisations to be improved.

1 The Economic Value of Vaccination, Sanofi Pasteur MSD, 2011.
Why focus childhood immunisations?

13. The task group considered a review on immunisations across three main areas; children up to the age of five years, school age children and young adults. After looking at the evidence and discussions with experts in the field, the task group agreed to focus on immunisations for the 0-5 years in recognition that this is the most challenging area and one where a scrutiny review could have a significant impact.

14. The evidence highlights that immunisations in the early years from 0-5 had the lowest take-up rates and this group along with the over 65s, are the most vulnerable to communicable diseases. A significant number of vaccinations are required during the early years which may contribute to the challenges in this area. Evidence shows that if people do not begin the process of immunising their children from birth; they are less likely to have the booster injections and complete the immunisations schedule.

15. It was also recognised that the child population is expanding, with changing demographics, which makes this a more pertinent area to review. Immunisations at the school age years are less of a challenge as they have the benefit of the structure of the school system which can help to boost rates.

Landscape for the delivery of immunisations

16. The commissioning of immunisations service has undergone significant changes since April 2013, responsibility has moved from the Primary Care Trust to NHS England who commission services from primary care and other community providers such as school nursing teams. NHS England also monitors and support providers’ performance. Improving Immunisation Rates is the responsibility of the London Immunisation Programme Board who develop strategies to increase rates. A quality improvement board has been established in South London.

17. Merton Clinical Commissioning Group has a duty to deliver quality improvement for the immunisations services delivered in GP practices. As part of this, they work with individual practices to improve coverage and include information on immunisations within their programme of engagement and outreach work. The surgeries are responsible for delivering the childhood routine immunisation schedule.

18. Local authorities have a general duty to improve the health and wellbeing of their populations within their public health role. They also have an explicit
‘assurance role’ in which the Director of Public Health must have oversight of the immunisations and screening process and be satisfied that the system is operating effectively. The public health team works with the three GP localities in Merton to share best practice to improve performance.

19. Sutton and Merton Community Services are responsible for managing the central data recording systems. From April 2016, the potential delivery of school based immunisations and possibly 3-5% of the preschool immunisations. These contracts are due to be finalised after April 2016.

Immunisation rates in Merton

20. There has been a significant shift in the data on immunisation rates during the course of the review. The task group were initially presented with figures showing Merton with the lowest rates in London; however when the task group met with NHS England they were informed a number of measures were put in place to address this. NHS England focussed on a data extraction project, which electronically extracts immunisations from GP systems and puts them directly into RIO via an interface. This improves data collection and measurement of data quality.

21. The task group were told that it led to significant improvements in the data, for example on the 12 months Hib MenC MMR vaccine, Merton is at 92%. The London average is 90% placing Merton second place in South West London in the Cohort of Vaccinations Evaluation Rapidly (COVER).

22. NHS England said there has been steady progress in the last eighteen months. Merton Immunisations were at 65% and had increased to 80%, which places Merton second in South West London. Merton is in the top three in South West London for MMR booster. The gap has also greatly reduced on the pre-school booster.

23. Following questions from the task group NHS England accepted that improvement in immunisation figures was largely due to improving the data rather than improving uptake. Approximately 15% could be attributed to data collection and 2-3% on improving take-up rates.

Current work to improve the take up of immunisations

24. NHS England policy is to make ‘every contact count’ and maximise every opportunity to share important messages around immunisations. Therefore
they conduct a range of outreach activities such as work with women in mosques. They also engage with a wide range of partners such as local authorities on health promotion, Public Health England on national aspects of this work.

25. NHS England is developing a programme with GP surgeries to identify and provide targeted support for the groups who are not getting their children immunised. The work will have a very specific scope, the aim is to localise these services which could lead to at 3-5% increase in uptake, which would take the borough above the national average.

26. Merton Public Health Team has produced a local public health guide, which includes the immunisations schedule. Community health champions have recently been trained and can play a role in promoting immunisations messages. This new voluntary role will enable the health champions to work within their own communities and mobilise people around health and exercise. It may also include a focus on immunisations.

27. There are also a range of measures in place to support GP surgeries. The public health team have been attending Merton’s three GP locality meetings to provide comparative data on immunisation rates. Public Health Merton have also developed a list of top ten tips in regards to good practice on immunisations which is shared with GP practices.

28. Merton Clinical Commissioning Group work with GP practices to improve uptake. For example practice managers can play an important role in helping patients to complete the immunisations schedule, therefore practice managers from high performing GP practice go to under-performing practices to provide support.

Stakeholder event

29. The task group held a session in the local community to provide an opportunity for all those with an interest in this area to contribute to the review. There was representation from Parents, Merton Clinical Commissioning Group, NHS England, Merton Early Years social work teams and councillors. Attendees engaged in a candid discussion about immunisations in Merton and highlighted there are no quick fix solutions to the problems as many are deeply rooted issues linked to disadvantage, exclusion and wider health inequalities.

30. Another key area to emerge from the discussion was the need to work in partnership to improve uptake of immunisations. The term partnership was
perceived as one which is often used loosely without giving due consideration to accountability, responsibility and commitment to achieving the shared aims and objectives. The stakeholders challenged this review to ensure that a genuine partnership approach was put in place.

**Why do people not immunise?**

31. Drawing from a wide range of sources, including evidence from the stakeholder event and findings from the NHS Southwest London report, the task group were able to build up a local picture of the factors which inhibit people from immunising their children in Merton:

I. Families who need extra support: such parents with mental health problems.

II. Larger families are less likely to immunise and or get top up boosters for younger siblings.

III. People new to the UK who are not familiar with the immunisations schedule.

IV. People who are not registered with a GP and lack contact with health professionals.

V. Employment issues may make it difficult for parents to take time off work to take children for GP appointment and transport issues may have a similar impact.

VI. Complexity of the immunisations schedule.

The task groups findings and recommendations fall into the following areas:

**Local Co-ordination**

32. Since the changes in structure in April 2013, resulting in more organisations contributing to the provision of immunisation services, the task group are concerned that the service has become fractured in that no organisation is taking responsibility for leading and guiding the overall process.

33. This became apparent when one of the first pieces of evidence to emerge was a report by NHS Southwest London on improving the uptake of
Childhood Immunisations in Sutton and Merton. This report had seemingly been lost in the transition from the Primary Care Trust to NHS England. The local partners the task group met with were not aware of the report. The task group didn’t find any evidence of individual or organisation responsibility for the work, nor had any of the recommendations been taken forward.

34. The task group believes that given the complex nature of the new structure, in which there are different responsibilities as well as overlap between the organisations, partnership working is the only context in which a successful immunisations programme can be delivered.

35. The task group found that there needs to be more clarity around roles and responsibilities. For example, during the meetings with the lead organisations, it was apparent that it is unclear who would be financially responsible for running an immunisation campaign should the task group wish to recommend this approach. NHS England has the commissioning responsibility and states there is no budget for health promotion work. The public health team in the local authority has an assurance role around immunisations and although it has a general duty to improve the health of its communities, the task group were told they would be very hard pressed to use their limited resources to pay for specific immunisations campaigns.

36. NHS England clearly stated to the task group that partnership working across multiple agencies is the best way to achieve improvements in immunisations. The task group understood that a local co-ordination group did exist in the past and had developed an action plan; however, this has not met for some time and covered both Sutton and Merton. The task group believes a Merton only group needs to be established.

37. The task group met with all the key partners; Merton Clinical Commissioning Group, NHS England South London Team, Sutton and Merton Community Services and Public Health Merton. They all agreed that local co-ordination was necessary and that they will commit to working together, sign a Memorandum of Understanding and develop an action plan to improve immunisations uptake in Merton. The task group understand that this has happened in other boroughs and is essential for increasing uptake of immunisations. NHS England has provided a draft Memorandum of Understanding which can be adapted for the local co-ordination group, this has been attached at Appendix A.

38. Progress with the action plan should be reported to the Health and Wellbeing Board on a quarterly basis to ensure that the Board has a role in overseeing the work, providing advice and guidance to ensure that the strategic links are made with all relevant services across the borough. Reporting to the Board which is decision making and has membership from a range of partners will also help to keep this work high profile, so other local partners will know what
is happening with Immunisations.

39. It is also important that scrutiny maintains its usual oversight of task group reviews by reporting to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on a six monthly basis until the Commission are satisfied that the recommendations have been implemented. The task group chair can also play an important on-going role in championing this work and raising the profile of improving immunisation take up in appropriate forums.

Recommendations

1. NHS England, Merton Clinical Commissioning Group, Sutton and Merton Community Services and the Local Authority develop a joint working protocol including development of a joint action plan setting out frequency of meetings and priority actions to improve the take up of immunisations. Ensure the group leads on embedding immunisations messages in all nurseries, children’s centres and Early Years’ services in Merton.

2. The group should review the recommendations in the NHS Southwest London report *Childhood Immunisations and Vaccinations*, 2013 and decide what would be appropriate to take forward.

3. The group should report to the Health and Wellbeing Board on an annual basis and report their progress to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on a six monthly basis until the Commission are satisfied that this work has been taken forward and that further improvements in immunisations have been made.

4. The task group chair to champion improving immunisation rates and raise the profile of this issue in appropriate forums.

Health inequalities and immunisation take up

40. As with other London boroughs, Merton is working hard to reduce the health inequalities that exist between the wealthier and economically deprived areas, in this case the east and west of the borough. The Health and Wellbeing Strategy has a range of initiatives to provide support to those within the poorest communities.

41. The task group wanted to understand the link between health inequalities and immunisation take up rates. The public health team looked at take up rates between the East and West of the borough and found little difference between the two. However the task group believe there is a wider link between immunisations and vulnerable people, as many of the groups who have been identified as less likely to immunise their children and are those who are more likely to face health inequalities. This includes people who do not come into regular contact with health professionals, find it difficult to navigate the health
system and be proactive in managing their health schedule. The task group therefore believes that improving take up of immunisations should be an integral part of the health inequalities work streams even if the current take up rates figures may not reflect this as a problem.

42. The council has recruited and trained volunteer health champions who are representatives of their own communities and therefore well placed to deliver health messages and support within their own communities. The task group believe that they can play an important role in delivering immunisation messages and would like to see this incorporated into the role.

Recommendation

5. That health champions deliver immunisations messages within their communities and the public health team seek to develop health champion roles in communities where immunisation rates are the lowest, where possible.

Strategies to improve take up

43. Throughout the course of this work, the task group has come across good practice ideas to improve immunisation take up across the borough. Many of these were centred on widening access to GPs, improving call and recall systems as well as targeted support for seldom heard groups. Public Health England told us that one-off campaigns were likely to have limited impact, and would only be effective while the campaign was being run. Information leaflets can be useful to an extent. The most effective way to improve take up is to embed continuous, sustained messages within the community.

Health visitors

44. Health visiting services will transfer from NHS England to the local authority in October 2015. Health visitors play a crucial role in signposting people to services and ensuring that important messages on immunisations are given to parents. This is a good opportunity to review the role of health visitors to engage in meaningful dialogue with parents about the importance of immunisations and this should be reflected in all commissioning arrangements.

45. A report by the London Assembly entitled ‘Still Missing the Point’ highlighted the impact of the reduction in health visitors in recent years as well as the increasing pressures on workloads, reducing the ability of these frontline workers to carry important immunisations messages. This was reiterated by the NHS South West London Childhood Immunisations and Vaccinations report which found that some health visitors may not feel confident to answer questions from parents about immunisations.

---

2 Still Missing the Point Infant Immunisation in London. London Assembly, September 2007
Recommendation

6. That the public health team ensures that the role of health visitors in delivering information on immunisations is specified and strengthened in the commissioning arrangements.

Immunisation process in GP surgeries

46. Many people find the immunisation schedule complex and that it changes regularly, therefore they rely on appointment reminders. GP surgeries use a wide range of initiatives including sending text messages, letters and emails. Surgeries have different approaches to ensuring their patients are vaccinated, therefore not all Merton residents benefit from a reminder service. We received evidence that a central appointment system is a good way of improving the uptake of immunisations to ensure that all patients across Merton receive a consistent service.

47. The importance of flexibility and accessibility was also put forward as important to raise immunisations rates. Access to appointments at GP surgeries posed a challenge for some parents and they needed more information about accessing the out of hour’s service.

Recommendation

7. Public Health Merton to work with Merton Clinical Commissioning Group to conduct an audit of GPs on the ‘top tips’ sheet, including checking which practices use the text messaging service. Merton Clinical Commissioning Group and Public Health Team to explore future options for expanding the text messaging service.

Data issues

48. Accurate data was raised as a problem during our stakeholder event and all the witnesses the task group met with confirmed that it is a major issue. It was reported that recorded figures may not reflect the true picture as there is a time delay in data being received and recorded.

49. Accurate recording of those who have had their vaccination is important in understanding local immunisations rates. The collection pathway needs to be rigorous to ensure that vaccinations take place at the right time, patient records are kept up to date, and people’s medical records follow them promptly when they move. This requires firstly the accurate coding of the vaccines given by the practice nurses, SMCS investigate non compatible codes on data transfer and relate these back to the practices. The process requires co-ordination of three organisations; GP practices who gather the information from vaccinations that take place at their practice, the information
is then passed to Sutton and Merton Community Services, who record it on the Child Health Information System. This which incorporates the child health records department and hold clinical records on all children and young people who upload the information into a software programme called RIO. The information is then passed to NHS England. The Missing the Point report identified significant problems with RIO system including its ability to make appointments automatically or recall children who have missed appointments or allow data sharing across clinical commissioning groups. RIO can schedule this but SMCS are not commissioned by MCCG to do this for their practices.

50. The highly mobile population in London is an issue in keeping patient lists up to date. Both for patients leaving or moving into the borough and for those newly arrived in the UK. NHS England also reports that there is a 20-40% annual turnover on GP patient lists which affects the accuracy denominator for COVER submissions, which can for example affect the denominator resulting in a lower percentage uptake.

51. Our witnesses told us that those who have the highest immunisation rates may be as a result of robust data systems rather than because they have managed to improve take-up rates amongst seldom heard groups. It was also reported that 2 or 3 children per practice can have an impact on the data.

52. In 2013, when Sutton and Merton recorded the lowest immunisations rates in the country, The then Director of Public Health in Sutton, reflected that this must be an issue of inaccurate data as if this was an accurate figure the area would be vulnerable to a rise in infectious diseases, when in reality, there had only been one recorded case of measles.³

53. Public Health England also confirmed that at present there is no evidence to suggest a sustainable outbreak of measles is likely in Merton.

54. The Population Health Practitioner Lead - South London told the task group that when NHS England took over the commissioning of immunisations they were aware of the poor uptake COVER rates in Sutton and Merton and a number of measures were put in place to address this. The main focus of the work is a data linkage project which improves the efficient and accuracy of GP uploads to the RIO database.

55. Sutton and Merton Community Services told us that data extraction has improved over the last year, however mobility of families is a problem. Some data systems across London are sharing information across borough.

boundaries. They look forward to this being spread across London. The current system is reliant upon people being registered with a GP practice and people updating the system in a timely way.

Embedding important messages within the community

56. Embedding consistent messages within the community is the best way to get important information messages to parents. Public Health England said it is difficult to change behaviour and to show that new initiatives have made a difference. The statistics have not substantially changed over the last 20 years despite various initiatives. Therefore any new initiative needs to be sustainable.

57. The NHS South West London childhood immunisations report has suggested a robust campaign to inform parents about the dangers of not immunising children is needed. While there is likely to be some merit in that approach, this task group has found that embedding sustainable regular messages amongst key professionals within the community is likely to have more impact.

58. The NHS South West London childhood immunisations report highlights that many parents would like to have the opportunity to discuss details on immunisations with key professionals. While it may not be possible to sit down and discuss this at length with a GP, frontline health workers can play an important role and could be empowered to visit voluntary and community sector organisations to deliver important health messages. The task group support this approach and believe that networking in small groups will have impact in delivering immunisations messages.

59. We need a mechanism to ensure that important messages are fed back to coordinating groups so they understand what the issues are and can respond to them.

60. Participants at the stakeholder group also suggested that useful information on immunisations could be provided to pregnant women.

61. The World Health Organisation hold ‘Child Immunisations Week’ the public health team support this locally by providing information in children’s centres and advertising in My Merton. Similarly when Public Health England held a MMR top up campaign aimed at older children the public health team supported this locally.

Early Years
62. The Stakeholder event highlighted the significant opportunities to embed immunisations messages within the early year’s services. A representative from a local nursery told the task group that immunisation information was not widely available at their local nursery and people were not asked about the vaccinations registration forms. The task group were told that early years is the most challenging area to co-ordinate immunisations.

63. Task group members felt that information should be made available in nurseries and children’s centres: including information introductory pack at nursery, letter in all reception and nursery starter packs.

64. Some task group members asked if the government had considered making immunisations as an essential requirement for entrance into primary school to help prevent the spread of infection. Public Health England, highlighted this is a discussion to be held at the national level however in United States where immunisations are mandatory, the take up rates are similar to ours in the UK.

65. Task group members also considered the role schools play in determining immunisation history. They were told that the London Borough of Sutton send a letter to parents asking them to ensure they are up to date with immunisations before starting school. Task Group members felt that a similar approach should be adopted in Merton.

Recommendation

8. Public health team to ensure that information on immunisations will be part of school entry packs and asked within the school entry health review, using the review as an opportunity to identify those unimmunised, promote immunisations uptake and signpost to child’s GP.
Appendix A
Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Quality Improvement Immunisation programme between NHS England, Clinical Commissioning Group, Public Health England and Local Authority
Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Quality Improvement Immunisation programme between NHS England, Clinical Commissioning Group, Public Health England and Local Authority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audience</td>
<td>NHS England, Public Health England HPU director, Clinical Commissioning Group Immunisation Lead, Local Authority Immunisation lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy</td>
<td>CCG Chief Operating Officers, Public Health England Area Director, Local Authority Director of Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>This document sets out the roles and responsibilities of a quality improvement Immunisation programme between NHS England, Public Health England, Clinical Commissioning Groups and Local Authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Required</td>
<td>NHS England Health of Public Health, Public Health England Directors, Clinical Commissioning Group COO and Local Authority DPH to sign a formal agreement taking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Details</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MOU between
NHS England [insert name of NHS England] and
Clinical Commissioning Group [insert name of CCG lead] and
And Public Health England [insert name of PHE lead] and
Local Authority [insert name of LA lead]

1. Introduction

This memorandum of understanding (MOU) sets out the agreed contribution to quality improvement programmes between:

a. NHS England (London Region) Public Health and Health in Justice department and

b. The following partners:
   - [Insert name of CCG(s)]
   - [Insert name of LA(s)]
   - [Insert name of PHE HPU region(s)]
   - [Insert name of Provider Organisation(s)]
   - [Insert name of other parties where applicable]

2. Key principles

a. NHS England as the commissioner of immunisation services is working in partnership with key other organisations to improve the quality of the immunisation programme

b. The quality improvement programme is a holistic approach to a particular identified issue(s) that needs addressing to improve the quality of the immunisation programme.

c. The quality improvement programme must have a positive impact to improve the accuracy of data or improve the uptake of vaccine preventable diseases as per the UK national schedule or improve the efficiency of the programme without a detrimental effect on the quality of the programme or a combination of the above.

d. Each partner within the quality improvement programme contributes equally to the programme.

3. Partner’s quality improvement programme roles

NHS England is expected to:

a. Identify the quality improvement programme

b. Call the appropriate partners together

c. Lead the quality improvement programme
d. Have overall responsibility for evaluating and reporting of the quality improvement programme.

Public Health England is expected to:
   a. Provide expert advice on the quality improvement project and any implications this may have on the immunisation schedule
   b. Hold the data older and ensures that data is monitored and shared where requested.
   c. Assesses the request of data within the Information Governance framework and provides advice on its appropriateness of data to be shared with partners

Clinical Commissioning Groups are expected to:
   a. Be a conduit of providing information to GP Surgeries
   b. Provide access to clinical networks
   c. Provide peer support
   d. Provide peer challenge
   e. Be a central point of communication

Local Authorities are expected to:
   a. Provide challenge on the quality improvement project process
   b. Provide local intelligence where available and appropriate
   c. Take the lead on the delivery of Health Promotion activities where appropriate

4. Data Sharing Principle between partners

As a part of the quality improvement programme, data will be shared with the group that may not yet be in public domain. This sharing is necessary to facilitate the work of the group and should be seen as for management purposes. The data is not provided to be used outside of the remit of the group, nor should it be published or shared with others without the explicit consent of the data owner.
This memorandum of understanding will start on [insert date] and be subjected to a three monthly review until the quality improvement programme is finished.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[insert name of NHS England Lead] [title of lead officer]</td>
<td>[insert name of LA Immunisation Lead] [title of lead officer]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[insert name of Public Health England Lead] [title of lead officer]</td>
<td>[insert name of CCG Lead] [title of lead officer]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[insert names &amp; titles of all / any officers from other providers]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>