Dear Mr Yuille

Re: list of representors who have indicated that they wish to appear at the Hearing sessions

Further to our letter to you of 14 October 2013, please find attached the answer to your first early question: Can I have a list of those representors who have indicated that they wish to appear at the Hearing sessions?

Accompanying this letter is an Excel version of Submission Document SP4.4 “Schedule of pre-submission representations received with suggested minor changes added” with column P added, indicating whether or not the representor indicated that they wished to appear at the Hearing sessions.

As this Excel document shows, very few representors have indicated definitively in their pre-submission representations or subsequently that they wish to appear at the Hearing Sessions.

- Greater London Authority - reserved the right to attend
- London Gypsy and Traveller Unit
- Wimbledon Society
- Wimbledon Park Residents Association

De Montes and other individuals have indicated that they want to attend or be kept closely informed on matters concerning Site 16 Wimbledon library. As set out in SP4.4 and illustrated in SP4.3, and in our letter of 02 October 2013, the council is supportive of the request to remove this site from the submitted plan and is requesting that the inspector consider this as an amendment. If this matter is addressed prior to the Hearings, it is possible that people who have made representations only on this site may not want to attend the Hearings. If this matter is to be addressed at the Hearing Sessions, it is very likely that all representors on Site 16 Wimbledon library will want to attend.

Four respondents (R003, J Barnes; R020: T Edwards; R055: Residents Association of West Wimbledon; R060: N Smith) have submitted representations at pre-submission stage, stating that if the council does not remove paragraph 3.22 of policy DM.C2: Education for children and young people from the submitted Sites and Policies Plan, then they will wish to attend the Hearing Sessions.

As set out in SP4.4 and illustrated in SP4.3, the council is supportive of the request to remove this paragraph from the submitted plan and is requesting that the inspector consider this as a
minor amendment. This can be found in document SP4.4, reference R055/C180; R060/C189; R003/C011 and R020/C056.

As well as the four confirmed attendees, council officers are aware that several representors have indicated an interest and are likely to want to attend the Hearing Sessions. These include:

- **GRA Ltd and AFC Wimbledon** (represented by Savills; representors on site 37 Wimbledon Greyhound Stadium) – have not explicitly stated they wish to attend but the council’s opinion is that it is very likely they will want to attend.
- **Mitcham Cricket Green Community and Heritage Group** (representors on various sites and issues in the Mitcham area) have not explicitly stated they wish to attend but the council’s opinion is that it is very likely they will want to attend.
- **Hume Consulting** (represented by Hamilton Architects representors on site 37 Wimbledon Greyhound Stadium) – have not explicitly stated they wish to attend but the council’s opinion is that it is very likely they will want to attend.
- **WeWantWimbledon** (representors on site 37 Wimbledon Greyhound Stadium – not explicitly stated but highly likely that they will want to attend.
- **London Fire and Emergency Planning Unit** (representor on site 35: Mitcham Fire Station) – not stated in representation but have advised verbally they wish to attend.
- **Lionsgate Properties** (represented by Quod for site 53 Brook House) have indicated at earlier stages that they would want to attend if objections were received towards the designation and these were to be addressed at the Hearing Sessions.
- **Astranta Asset Management** (represented by Jones Laing LaSalle, representors on Site 70 Haslemere industrial estate) have not explicitly stated they wish to attend but the council’s opinion is that it is very likely they will want to attend if this site were to be featured as part of the Hearings.
- **Friends Life Ltd** (represented by Quod, representors on Site 48 Bushey Road): have not explicitly stated they wish to attend but the council’s opinion is that it is very likely that they will want to attend if this site were to be featured as part of the Hearing Sessions.

Please note that I have not indicated that these representors want to attend the Hearing Sessions in the attached Excel version of Document SP4.4 (column P) as it is the council’s view that these representors are extremely likely to want to attend, should matters relating to their representations be addressed in the Hearing Sessions.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Yours sincerely

Tara Butler
Programme Manager – Strategic Policy and Research
Future Merton team
Email: tara.butler@merton.gov.uk

Enc: Excel version of Submission Document SP4.4 “Schedule of pre-submission representations received with suggested minor changes added” with columns added containing contact details and whether or not representors have explicitly indicated that they want to attend the Hearing Sessions (in column P)