I am writing on behalf of the Residents’ Association of West Wimbledon in response to the above consultation.

The Association wishes to raise the following queries:

**ATKINSON MORLEY HOSPITAL SITE**

On page 599 of the consultation draft, site P018, at the south-eastern corner of the Atkinson Morley’s Hospital building, there is a triangular area which appears to have been removed from the Open Space designation and this differs from the boundary drawn on the Borough Plan Adopted October 2003.

On page 602, SINCs the Atkinson Morley site is identified as Me109 and although the scale is smaller and therefore the detail difficult to resolve, there appear to be anomalies between the Borough Plan and the proposed SINC designated area.

This area is adjacent to a bank containing a number of silver birch trees and badger setts and the Association seeks explanation of the proposed changes.

**P018 Also on page 599, on the southern boundary, close to the northern end of Cranford Close, there is a triangle of land where the green colour does not align with the red boundary line. This is an area of woodland, adjoining the woodland SINC of the Atkinson Morleys site. The proposed change appears to be unexplained.**

The Association looks forward to further information on the proposed changes.
Dear Ms. Butler

LDF CONSULTATION JANUARY 2012. RAYNES PARK POLICIES MAP MOL REF i3. Cottenham Park Road.

I am writing on behalf of the Residents’ Association of West Wimbledon in relation to the above consultation.

The Association strongly objects to the de-designation of the area associated with the property adjacent to 37 Oakwood Road.

This area of MOL was acquired by purchase from Merton by the previous owner. It is comprised of part of the footpath which connects the north and south sections of Cottenham Park Road, which itself is designated as MOL.

Permission was incorrectly granted to erect a new dwelling house within the designated MOL by the Planning Division of Merton (see applications 08/P1163 dated 06.05.08 and 07/P2780) and now contains the newly built house and associated garden. There is no reason to compound this error and to further erode the MOL within the area. It creates a dangerous precedent that MOL can be gradually diminished by extending the existing areas of built development.

The Association believes that the site should remain under MOL designation and that no further extensions to the existing property or development with the garden should be permitted.

Yours sincerely

Pat Keith.
Copy to:
Councillors Rod Scott, Philp Jones, John Bowcott
John Hill
Chris Lee
Sue Wright.
Photos taken prior to the new development
Current photos showing the 2 new houses, the one adjacent to the path is on MOL. The view of the green lane is blocked.

NB The first application was for the house immediately adjacent to number 37 (to the left of this picture). Prior to building that another application was submitted in 2008 for a similar house on the remaining garden which is MOL.