SOUTH LONDON WASTE PLAN SUBMISSION

STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND 4

BETWEEN

THE BOROUGHS OF THE SOUTH LONDON WASTE PLAN

AND

MR NICOLAS BRICHT, MARTIN BYRNE, MR RICHARD CHEADLE, MR MATT FAULKNER, MS CHRISTA LEWIS-EVES, MR TONY LIST, MS MORAG LIVINGSTONE, DR LOUISE WALKER, PROFESSOR PETER WEST

Summary:
The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan are proposing to delete Site 651 from the South London Waste Plan. The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan have explained the consultation arrangements. In light of these actions, the above named respondents have withdrawn their representations.
This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared to identify an area of agreement between Mr Nicolas Bricht, Mr Martin Byrne, Mr Richard Cheadle, Mr Matt Faulkner, Ms Christa Lewis-Eves, Mr Tony List, Ms Morag Livingstone, Dr Louise Walker and Professor Peter West and the Boroughs of the South London Waste Plan on matters relating to the South London Waste Plan Proposed Submission DPD and the representations by Mr Nicolas Bricht, Mr Martin Byrne, Mr Richard Cheadle, Mr Matt Faulkner, Ms Christa Lewis-Eves, Mr Tony List, Ms Morag Livingstone, Dr Louise Walker and Professor Peter West

Background

This Statement of Common Ground relates to ten representations (No. 20, 35, 39, 40, 43, 54, 55, 85, 128 and 129) made regarding the South London Waste Plan Proposed Submission DPD.

In summary, the representors requested the deletion of the site from the document on amenity grounds, an error in the site search scoring and consultation arrangements. The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan wrote to representors, accepting that the site should not have been included after the Stage 2 (the Preferred Sites and Policies) consultation since the proximity of residential properties to the site had not been taken into account and this combined with other low scores made it unlikely to have sites suitable for waste management. The boroughs clarified the consultation arrangements. In the light of this correspondence, Mr Nicolas Bricht, Mr Martin Byrne, Mr Richard Cheadle, Mr Matt Faulkner, Ms Christa Lewis-Eves, Mr Tony List, Ms Morag Livingstone, Dr Louise Walker and Professor Peter West have withdrawn their representations.

Matter of Agreement

The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan and Mr Nicolas Bricht, Mr Martin Byrne, Mr Richard Cheadle, Mr Matt Faulkner, Ms Christa Lewis-Eves, Mr Tony List, Ms Morag Livingstone, Dr Louise Walker and Professor Peter West agree that Site 651: Plough Lane Industrial Area should be deleted from the South London Waste Plan.

Mr Nicolas Bricht, Mr Martin Byrne, Mr Richard Cheadle, Mr Matt Faulkner, Ms Christa Lewis-Eves, Mr Tony List, Ms Morag Livingstone, Dr Louise Walker and Professor Peter West have had matters clarified regarding consultation arrangements.

The table below sets out the proposed changes to the South London Waste Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Minor Change No. - Suggested By</th>
<th>Policy Reference</th>
<th>Background to Change</th>
<th>Wording of Proposed Change</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMC89</td>
<td>Page 40/41 Schedule 2</td>
<td>Following an investigation into the site scoring at the Preferred Options stage</td>
<td>Delete: 641/642/651 Durnsford Road/Plough Lane Industrial Area Merton 2017-2021</td>
<td>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document ID</td>
<td>Page Range</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMC116</td>
<td>Page 61/62</td>
<td>Site 27 Objections from Previous Consultations</td>
<td>Delete: Durnsford Road and Plough Lane Industrial Area (Sites, 641,652,652) Replace with: Durnsford Road Industrial Area (Sites 641 and 642)</td>
<td>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMC124</td>
<td>Page 67</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Delete: 641/642/651 Durnsford Road/Plough Lane Industrial Area, Merton Replace with: 641/642 Durnsford Road Industrial Area, Merton</td>
<td>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMC130</td>
<td>Page 78</td>
<td>Title of Site</td>
<td>Delete: Area 641/642/651: Durnsford Road/Plough Lane Industrial Area, Merton Replace with: Area 641/642: Durnsford Industrial Area, Merton</td>
<td>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMC131</td>
<td>Page 78</td>
<td>Site Description</td>
<td>Delete: Whole paragraph Replace with: The area consists of two parts to the north of Plough Lane and both industrial</td>
<td>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eves, Mr T</td>
<td>areas are at present in multiple occupation. Buildings range in height between approximately 8 and 20 metres. Near the boundaries of the site are the River Wandle, allotments, railways lines, residential properties, Wimbledon Stadium and other industrial properties. The site gains direct access from the A218 (Durnsford Road).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List, Ms M Livingstone, Dr L Walker and Prof P West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PMC133</strong></td>
<td>Page 78 Site Area</td>
<td>Following an investigation into the site scoring at the Preferred Options stage</td>
<td>Delete: 25.55ha Replace with: 16.17ha</td>
<td>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr N Bricht, Mr M Byrne, Mr R Cheadle, Mr M Faulkner, Ms C Lewis-Eves, Mr T List, Ms M Livingstone, Dr L Walker and Prof P West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PMC134</strong></td>
<td>Page 79 Objections from Previous Consultations</td>
<td>Following an investigation into the site scoring at the Preferred Options stage</td>
<td>Insert following row: Site 651: Proximity to Housing I Site 651 Deleted</td>
<td>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr N Bricht, Mr M Byrne, Mr R Cheadle, Mr M Faulkner, Ms C Lewis-Eves, Mr T List, Ms M Livingstone, Dr L Walker and Prof P West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PMC</strong></td>
<td>Addenda Title of Site</td>
<td>Following an investigation into the site scoring at the Preferred Options stage</td>
<td>Delete: Area 641/642/651: Durnsford Road/Plough Lane Industrial Area, Merton Replace with: Area 641/642: Durnsford Industrial Area, Merton</td>
<td>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr N Bricht, Mr M Byrne, Mr R Cheadle, Mr M Faulkner, Ms C Lewis-Eves, Mr T List, Ms M Livingstone, Dr L Walker and Prof P West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
West

**PMC132**
Mr N Bricht, Mr M Byrne, Mr R Cheadle, Mr M Faulkner, Ms C Lewis-Eves, Mr T List, Ms M Livingstone, Dr L Walker and Prof P West

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map</th>
<th>Following an investigation into the site scoring at the Preferred Options stage</th>
<th>Delete: Current Map Replace with: Map see below</th>
<th>To correct an error in the scoring for the site search</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

![Map](image)
Duncan Clarke (Waste)

From: Matt Faulkner
Sent: 01 April 2011 10:40
To: Duncan Clarke (Waste)
Subject: Re: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Duncan,

Thank you for your email.

Based on the removal of the Plough Lane and Durnsford road sites from the scheme I can confirm I am happy for you to consider my representation withdrawn.

Thank you

Matt Faulkner

On 1 April 2011 10:03, Duncan Clarke (Waste) <duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Mr Faulkner,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnsford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

With regards to the consultation process, I regret that some residents did not receive the postal notification but Council records show that letters regarding the Stage 2 consultation were mailed to all the addresses within the outline surrounding the Durnsford Road and Plough Lane sites on the map attached to this email. Furthermore, the Stage 2 consultation process also included:

- the distribution of a press release;
- a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;
- an article that was published in the Council’s magazine ‘My Merton’;
- a listing on the ‘Planning News’ part of Merton Council’s website.
E: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk

T: 020 8547 5375
Dear Mr Clarke,

Thank you for your trouble in putting together this email.

Please consider my representation withdrawn, on the basis of the information which you give below.

I should be grateful, if a .pdf copy is available, if you could forward the current draft of the South London Waste Plan.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Cheadle

---

From: Duncan Clarke (Waste) [mailto:duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk]
Sent: 01 April 2011 10:19
To: Cheadle, Richard
Subject: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Dear Mr Cheadle,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnsford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

With regards to the consultation process, I regret that some residents did not receive the postal notification but Council records show that letters regarding the Stage 2 consultation were mailed to all the addresses within the outline surrounding the Durnsford Road and Plough Lane sites on the map attached to this email. Furthermore, the Stage 2 consultation process also included:

- the distribution of a press release;
- a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;
- an article that was published in the Council’s magazine ‘My Merton’;
- a listing on the ‘Planning News’ part of Merton Council’s website.
- posters and leaflets were displayed at libraries and at the Civic Centre building;
Duncan Clarke (Waste)

From: Christa LewisEves
Sent: 02 April 2011 07:52
To: Duncan Clarke (Waste)
Subject: RE: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Duncan,

Many thanks for the detailed reply and yes, please consider my representation withdrawn.

Christa Lewis-Eves

From: Duncan Clarke (Waste) [mailto:duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk]
Sent: 01 April 2011 10:08
To: 'Christa LewisEves'
Subject: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Dear Ms Lewis-Eves,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnsford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

With regards to the consultation process, I regret that some residents did not receive the postal notification but Council records show that letters regarding the Stage 2 consultation were mailed to all the addresses within the outline surrounding the Durnsford Road and Plough Lane sites on the map attached to this email. Furthermore, the Stage 2 consultation process also included:

- the distribution of a press release;
- a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;
- an article that was published in the Council’s magazine ‘My Merton’;
- a listing on the ‘Planning News’ part of Merton Council’s website.
- posters and leaflets were displayed at libraries and at the Civic Centre building;
- a drop-in event was held at the Civic Centre; and
- a series of public meetings where officers met with 14 local groups.

With regards to the Stage 3 consultation, letters of notification were only sent to individuals and organisations on the Waste Plan’s consultation database and to respondents to the Stage 1 and 2 consultations. Officers are aware that waste planning matters can often be complex and therefore the contact details of either the Project Manager or relevant local authority planning officer was displayed on publication material.

I hope that the removal of Site 651 and the above clarification has addresses your concerns.

It is our intention to submit the Waste Plan to the Secretary of State before 21 April 2011. We are seeking to reduce the number of issues to be considered at the Examination in Public (EiP) and therefore the amount of time needed for discussion. The EiP cost is estimated at £9,000.00 per day.

With Site 651 now removed from Schedule 2 and the above clarification on consultation arrangements, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether I can consider your representation withdrawn. I would appreciate it if you could send me your response within the next 7 days in order to meet our submission deadline.
Please note that the Programme Officer, acting for the Inspector that will be appointed by the Secretary of State to consider the Waste Plan, is also likely to contact you regarding your representation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Duncan Clarke
Mr Clarke

Thank you for your note below.

On the basis site 651 has been removed from the list of sites identified as suitable for waste management purposes, please consider my objection withdrawn.

Regards

From: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk
To: [REDACTED]
Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2011 10:39:53 +0100
Subject: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Dear Mr List,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

Your primary objection was the inclusion of Site 651 in the list of sites considered to be suitable for waste management purposes. I hope the removal of this site addresses this concern.

It is our intention to submit the Waste Plan to the Secretary of State before 21 April 2011. We are seeking to reduce the number of issues to be considered at the Examination in Public (EIP) and therefore the amount of time needed for discussion. The EIP cost is estimated at £9,000.00 per day.

With Site 651 now removed from Schedule 2, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether I can consider your representation withdrawn. I would appreciate it if you could send me your response within the next 7 days in order to meet our submission deadline.

Please note that the Programme Officer, acting for the Inspector that will be appointed by the Secretary of State to consider the Waste Plan, is also likely to contact you regarding your representation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Duncan Clarke

Duncan Clarke
South London Waste Plan Project Manager
Royal Borough of Kingston-upon-Thames
E: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk
T: 020 8547 5375
Duncan Clarke (Waste)

From: Duncan Clarke (Waste) 05 April 2011 12:54
To: Duncan Clarke (Waste)
Subject: Re: Representation on the South London Waste Plan
Attachments: Plough Lane Map.pdf

Many thanks for your response.

I confirm that you can now consider my original representation withdrawn.

Regards,
Martin Byrne

From: "Duncan Clarke (Waste)" <duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk>
To: Duncan Clarke (Waste)
Date: 01/04/2011 10:16
Subject: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Dear Mr Byrne,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnsford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site's poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

With regards to the consultation process, I regret that some residents did not receive the postal notification but Council records show that letters regarding the Stage 2 consultation were mailed to all the addresses within the outline surrounding the Durnsford Road and Plough Lane sites on the map attached to this email. Furthermore, the Stage 2 consultation process also included:

• the distribution of a press release;
• a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;
• an article that was published in the Council’s magazine ‘My Merton’;
• a listing on the ‘Planning News’ part of Merton Council’s website.
• posters and leaflets were displayed at libraries and at the Civic Centre building;
• a drop-in event was held at the Civic Centre; and
• a series of public meetings where officers met with 14 local groups.
With regards to the Stage 3 consultation, letters of notification were only sent to individuals and organisations on the Waste Plan’s consultation database and to respondents to the Stage 1 and 2 consultations. Officers are aware that waste planning matters can often be complex and therefore the contact details of either the Project Manager or relevant local authority planning officer was displayed on publication material.

I hope that the removal of Site 651 and the above clarification has addresses your concerns.

It is our intention to submit the Waste Plan to the Secretary of State before 21 April 2011. We are seeking to reduce the number of issues to be considered at the Examination in Public (EiP) and therefore the amount of time needed for discussion. The EiP cost is estimated at £9,000.00 per day.

With Site 651 now removed from Schedule 2 and the above clarification on consultation arrangements, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether I can consider your representation withdrawn. I would appreciate it if you could send me your response within the next 7 days in order to meet our submission deadline.

Please note that the Programme Officer, acting for the Inspector that will be appointed by the Secretary of State to consider the Waste Plan, is also likely to contact you regarding your representation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Duncan Clarke
Duncan Clarke
South London Waste Plan Project Manager
Royal Borough of Kingston-upon-Thames
E: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk
T: 020 8547 5375
Dear Mr Clarke,

Thank you for your communication addressing my concerns over the South London Waste Plan.

I am pleased to hear from you that site 651 (Durnsford Road/Plough Lane Industrial Area) has been removed from the list of areas identified as potentially suitable for implementation of Schedule 2 of the waste plan.

On the basis that site 651 has been removed from consideration I can confirm that I am satisfied that my representation now be withdrawn from the consultation process.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Louise Walker

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Duncan Clarke (Waste) 
To: 'Louise Walker' 
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 10:06 AM 
Subject: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Dear Dr Walker,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnsford Road/Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

With regards to the consultation process, I regret that some residents did not receive the postal notification but Council records show that letters regarding the Stage 2 consultation were mailed to all the addresses within the outline surrounding the Durnsford Road and Plough Lane sites on the map attached to this email. Furthermore, the Stage 2 consultation process also included:

- the distribution of a press release;
- a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;
- an article that was published in the Council’s magazine ‘My Merton’;
- a listing on the ‘Planning News’ part of Merton Council’s website.
- posters and leaflets were displayed at libraries and at the Civic Centre building;
- a drop-in event was held at the Civic Centre; and
- a series of public meetings where officers met with 14 local groups.

With regards to the Stage 3 consultation, letters of notification were only sent to individuals and organisations on the Waste Plan’s consultation database and to respondents to the Stage 1 and 2 consultations. Officers are aware that waste planning matters can often be complex and therefore the contact details of either the Project Manager or relevant local authority planning officer was displayed on publication material.

I hope that the removal of Site 651 and the above clarification has addresses your concerns.
It is our intention to submit the Waste Plan to the Secretary of State before 21 April 2011. We are seeking to reduce the number of issues to be considered at the Examination in Public (EiP) and therefore the amount of time needed for discussion. The EiP cost is estimated at £9,000.00 per day.

With Site 651 now removed from Schedule 2 and the above clarification on consultation arrangements, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether I can consider your representation withdrawn. I would appreciate it if you could send me your response within the next 7 days in order to meet our submission deadline.

Please note that the Programme Officer, acting for the Inspector that will be appointed by the Secretary of State to consider the Waste Plan, is also likely to contact you regarding your representation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Duncan Clarke
Dear Duncan, many thanks, sorry I did not read it carefully, it is a bit busy here. Hope the races went well.

Best wishes,

Peter

On 18 Apr 2011, at 10:40, Duncan Clarke (Waste) wrote:

Dear Peter,

In case you missed it, this paragraph is in the background section which includes all the wording you are requesting:

"In summary, the representors requested the deletion of the site from the document on amenity grounds, an error in the site search scoring and consultation arrangements. The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan wrote to representors, accepting that the site should not have been included after the Stage 2 (the Preferred Sites and Policies) consultation since the proximity of residential properties to the site had not been taken into account and this combined with other low scores made it unlikely to have sites suitable for waste management. The boroughs clarified the consultation arrangements. In the light of this correspondence, Mr Nicolas Bright, Mr Martin Byrne, Mr Richard Cheadle, Mr Matt Faulkner, Ms Christa Lewis-Eves, Mr Tony List, Ms Morag Livingstone, Dr Louise Walker and Professor Peter West have withdrawn their representations."

Ich hoffe, das die Kuchen ist geschmeckt.

Regards,

Duncan

Duncan Clarke
South London Waste Plan Project Manager
Royal Borough of Kingston-upon-Thames
E: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk
T: 020 8547 5375

Dear Duncan, I am still in Vienna and I have been rather busy here (I came for work). On looking through the document I see it is rather technical and so the comment you suggest may be appropriate, but it would be good to include the wording I suggested at some point. If it is there please forgive me, but I did not immediately find it.

It may save us all a lot of trouble in the future.

Best wishes,

Peter

On 11 Apr 2011, at 11:43, Duncan Clarke (Waste) wrote:
Dear Professor West,

Further to your email, please find attached the document I am planning to send to the Planning Inspectorate next week.

Hope you had a nice time in Vienna.

Regards,

Duncan

Duncan Clarke
South London Waste Plan Project Manager
Royal Borough of Kingston-upon-Thames
E: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk
T: 020 8547 5375

---

From: Peter West
Sent: 06 April 2011 11:30
To: Duncan Clarke (Waste)
Cc: Eben Van Der Westhuizen; Peter West
Subject: Re: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Dear Duncan and Eben,

I think the problem with the wording is that it can be interpreted as meaning that the presentation of the case for the site was flawed and therefore it is withdrawn. While we all agree that the site is unsuitable. Perhaps we could say

Site 651 has been withdrawn as its score for the proximity of residential housing was revised upwards and this, taken with the other adverse scores, meant that it was unsuitable for waste management.

May be the wording is not optimal and one should be played with. One could also change the last bit to be instead join the list of sites that are removed as unsuitable for waste management.

Best wishes,

Peter

---

On 5 Apr 2011, at 15:24, Duncan Clarke (Waste) wrote:

Dear Professor West,

Further to our telephone conversation yesterday and subsequent discussions with Eben van der Westhuizen and Tara Butler in the planning policy department at Merton, we feel the best course of action is to propose deleting the site to the inspector with the reason “scoring incorrect during the site search”.

2
If you would like to give me a call regarding this, please feel free to do so. However, I am not in the office from Wednesday to Friday so you may wish to call either Eben (020 8545 3814) or Tara (020 8545 4855).

Regards,

Duncan

Duncan Clarke
South London Waste Plan Project Manager
Royal Borough of Kingston-upon-Thames
E: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk
T: 020 8547 5375

From: Peter West  
Sent: 03 April 2011 14:24
To: Duncan Clarke (Waste)
Cc: Eben Van Der Westhuizen; Peter West
Subject: Re: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Dear Mr Clarke,
Thank you for your letter. I am very pleased to hear that site 651 has been withdrawn and I can confirm that you may withdraw my representation. However, please would you confirm that the reasons for the unsuitability, and so withdrawal, of site 651 will be included in the submission to the planning enquiry so that no future application for a waste facility on this site is likely to succeed.

I would like to thank you and Mr van der Westhuizen for the open and straightforward way in which this matter has been dealt with.

Yours sincerely,

Peter West.

On 1 Apr 2011, at 10:10, Duncan Clarke (Waste) wrote:

Dear Professor West,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnsford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

With regards to the consultation process, I regret that some residents did not receive the postal notification but Council records show that letters regarding the Stage 2 consultation were mailed to all the addresses within the outline surrounding the Durnsford Road and Plough Lane sites on the map attached to this email. Furthermore, the Stage 2 consultation process also included:
- the distribution of a press release;
- a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;
• an article that was published in the Council’s magazine ‘My Merton’;
• a listing on the ‘Planning News’ part of Merton Council’s website.
• posters and leaflets were displayed at libraries and at the Civic Centre building;
• a drop-in event was held at the Civic Centre; and
• a series of public meetings where officers met with 14 local groups.

With regards to the Stage 3 consultation, letters of notification were only sent to individuals and organisations on the Waste Plan’s consultation database and to respondents to the Stage 1 and 2 consultations. Officers are aware that waste planning matters can often be complex and therefore the contact details of either the Project Manager or relevant local authority planning officer was displayed on publication material.

I hope that the removal of Site 651 and the above clarification has addresses your concerns.

It is our intention to submit the Waste Plan to the Secretary of State before 21 April 2011. We are seeking to reduce the number of issues to be considered at the Examination in Public (EiP) and therefore the amount of time needed for discussion. The EiP cost is estimated at £9,000.00 per day.

With Site 651 now removed from Schedule 2 and the above clarification on consultation arrangements, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether I can consider your representation withdrawn. I would appreciate it if you could send me your response within the next 7 days in order to meet our submission deadline.

Please note that the Programme Officer, acting for the Inspector that will be appointed by the Secretary of State to consider the Waste Plan, is also likely to contact you regarding your representation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Duncan Clarke
<Plough Lane Map.pdf>

<Statement of Common Ground 4 - Site 651.pdf>
Dear Mr Clarke

Thank you for your email and I am pleased to note that site 651 has been removed. However my objections concerning sites 641 and 642 still stand!

Kind regards
Liinda Bedford

From: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk
To: Duncan Clarke (Waste)
Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2011 10:01:51 +0100
Subject: Representation on the South London Waste Plan

Dear Ms Bedford,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

With regards to the consultation process, I regret that some residents did not receive the postal notification but Council records show that letters regarding the Stage 2 consultation were mailed to all the addresses within the outline surrounding the Durnford Road and Plough Lane sites on the map attached to this email. Furthermore, the Stage 2 consultation process also included:
  - the distribution of a press release;
  - a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;
  - an article that was published in the Council’s magazine ‘My Merton’;
  - a listing on the ‘Planning News’ part of Merton Council’s website.
  - posters and leaflets were displayed at libraries and at the Civic Centre building;
  - a drop-in event was held at the Civic Centre; and
  - a series of public meetings where officers met with 14 local groups.

With regards to the Stage 3 consultation, letters of notification were only sent to individuals and organisations on the Waste Plan’s consultation database and to respondents to the Stage 1 and 2 consultations. Officers are aware that waste planning matters can often be complex and therefore the contact details of either the Project Manager or relevant local authority planning officer was displayed on publication material.

I hope that the removal of Site 651 and the above clarification has addresses your concerns.

It is our intention to submit the Waste Plan to the Secretary of State before 21 April 2011. We are seeking to reduce the number of issues to be considered at the Examination in Public (EiP) and therefore the amount of time needed for discussion. The EiP cost is estimated at £9,000.00 per day.

With Site 651 now removed from Schedule 2 and the above clarification on consultation arrangements, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether I can consider your representation withdrawn. I would appreciate it if you could send me your response within the next 7 days in order to meet our submission deadline.
Please note that the Programme Officer, acting for the Inspector that will be appointed by the Secretary of State to consider the Waste Plan, is also likely to contact you regarding your representation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Duncan Clarke
Dear Mr Clarke

Thank you very much for your email dated 1 April @ 11:34:04.

Following on what you say in it and provided that Site 651 is withdrawn entirely from Schedule 2 of the South London Waste Plan and no longer proposed as a waste management site I am willing to withdraw my representation. This is only on the condition that the site is not re inserted in the plan in the future either by its current name and number or any other name or number you might assign to it or part of it in any future developments of the South London Waste Plan.

Despite this I ask you not to be removed from your database and to be kept up to date with any further developments regarding the South London Waste Plan.

I would appreciate if you could acknowledge receipt of this email and confirm that Site 651, Plough Lane Industrial Area has already been removed from the South London Waste Plan.

I personally want to thank you and Eben Van Der Westhuizen for looking into this matter and acting on it.

Kind regards

Nicolas Bricht
Dear Mr Bricht

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnsford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of the Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

With regards to the consultation process, I regret that some residents did not receive the postal notification but Council records show that letters regarding the Stage 2 consultation were mailed to all the addresses within the outline surrounding the Durnsford Road and Plough Lane sites on the map attached to this email. Furthermore, the Stage 2 consultation process also included:

- the distribution of a press release;
- a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;
- an article that was published in the Council’s magazine ‘My Merton’;
- a listing on the ‘Planning News’ part of Merton Council’s website.
- posters and leaflets were displayed at libraries and at the Civic Centre building;
- a drop-in event was held at the Civic Centre; and
- a series of public meetings where officers met with 14 local groups.

With regards to the Stage 3 consultation, letters of notification were only sent to individuals and organisations on the Waste Plan’s consultation database and to respondents to the Stage 1 and 2 consultations. The Stage 2 consultation records show that your address was not entered alongside your comment and therefore a letter of notification, regarding the Stage 3 ‘Proposed Submission’ consultation was unfortunately not sent to you. Please accept my sincerest apologies for this error.

I hope that the removal of Site 651 and the above clarification has addressed your concerns.

It is our intention to submit the Waste Plan to the Secretary of State before 21 April 2011. We are seeking to reduce the number of issues to be considered at the Examination in Public (EiP) and therefore the amount of time needed for discussion. The EiP cost is estimated at £9,000.00 per day.

With Site 651 now removed from Schedule 2 and the above explanation on consultation arrangements, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether I can consider your representation withdrawn. I would appreciate it if you could send me your response within the next 7 days in order to meet our submission deadline.

Please note that the Programme Officer, acting for the Inspector that will be appointed by the Secretary of State to consider the Waste Plan, is also likely to contact you regarding your representation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Duncan Clarke
Duncan Clarke
South London Waste Plan Project Manager
Royal Borough of Kingston-upon-Thames
E: duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk
T: 020 8547 5375
Thank you - I confirm that with the removal of site 651 my representation in relation to site 651
Many thanks and kindest
Morag Livingstone

--- On Fri, 1/4/11, Duncan Clarke (Waste) <duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk> wrote:

From: Duncan Clarke (Waste) <duncan.clarke@rbk.kingston.gov.uk>
Subject: Representation on the South London Waste Plan
To: "Morag Livingstone" <Eben.VanDerWesthuizen@merton.gov.uk>
Cc: "Eben Van Der Westhuizen" <Eben.VanDerWesthuizen@merton.gov.uk>
Date: Friday, 1 April, 2011, 15:33

Dear Ms Livingstone,

Thank you for your representations on the South London Waste Plan (Waste Plan).

I am writing to inform you that Site 651, part of the Durnsford Road /Plough Lane Industrial Area south of Plough Lane, will be removed from the list of areas identified as suitable for waste management purposes in Schedule 2 of the Waste Plan. On re-examining the records at Stage 2 of the Waste Plan Consultation (2009), officers have confirmed the site’s poor suitability scoring. Please accept my apologies for this error and any inconvenience it may have caused.

Although letters were not sent to residents as part of the Stage 1 ‘Issues and Options’ consultation process in 2008, it did include:

- letters/emails sent to all contacts on each of the borough’s Local Development Framework database,
informing them of the consultation and inviting them to a meeting;

- a hotline number was established so that the South London Waste Plan Project Manager could answer any questions;

- a press release was distributed to local newspapers;

- a Public Notice that was published in the Wimbledon Guardian;

- an article was published in the Council's magazine 'My Merton';

- a web page was created on Merton Council's website.

- posters and leaflets were displayed at libraries, the Civic Centre and at household recycling centres;

- a drop-in event was held at the Civic Centre;

- a series of public workshops were held (Merton Civic Centre 29 September 2008); and

- meetings with 6 local groups were held.

I hope that the removal of Site 651 and the above clarification has addressed your concerns.

It is our intention to submit the Waste Plan to the Secretary of State before 21 April 2011. We are seeking to reduce the number of issues to be considered at the Examination in Public (EiP) and therefore the amount of time needed for discussion. The EiP cost is estimated at £9,000.00 per day.

With Site 651 now removed from Schedule 2 and the above clarification on consultation arrangements, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether I can consider your representation withdrawn. I would appreciate it if you could send me your response within the next 7 days in order to meet our submission deadline.

Please note that the Programme Officer, acting for the Government’s Planning Inspector that will be appointed by the Secretary of State to consider the Waste Plan, is also likely to contact you regarding your representation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,